



Article Enhanced Photocatalytic and Photokilling Activities of Cu-Doped TiO₂ Nanoparticles

Yumatorn Mingmongkol ^{1,2}, Dang Trung Tri Trinh ^{2,3}, Patcharaporn Phuinthiang ^{1,2}, Duangdao Channei ⁴, Khakhanang Ratananikom ⁵, Auppatham Nakaruk ^{2,6} and Wilawan Khanitchaidecha ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand; yumatorn_nk@hotmail.com (Y.M.); ryeoploy09@gmail.com (P.P.)
- ² Centre of Excellence for Innovation and Technology for Water Treatment, Faculty of Engineering, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand; tttdang247@gmail.com (D.T.T.I); auppathamn@nu.ac.th (A.N.)
- ³ Institute of Environmental Science & Technology, Tra Vinh University, Tra Vinh 87000, Vietnam
- ⁴ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand; duangdaoc@nu.ac.th
- ⁵ Department of Science and Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Health Technology, Kalasin University, Kalasin 46000, Thailand; khakhanang_r@yahoo.com
- ⁶ Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand
- * Correspondence: wilawank1@gmail.com

Abstract: In this work, metal-doped titanium dioxide (TiO₂) was synthesised with the aim of improving photocatalytic degradation and antimicrobial activities; TiO₂ was doped with copper (Cu) ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 wt%. The physical and chemical properties of the Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), the Brunauer– Emmett–Teller method (BET) and diffuse reflection spectroscopy (DRS). The results revealed that the anatase phase of TiO₂ was maintained well in all the Cu-doped TiO₂ samples. No significant difference in the particle sizes or the specific surface areas was caused by increasing Cu doping. However, the band gap decreased continuously from 3.20 eV for undoped TiO₂ to 3.12 eV for 1.0 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂. In addition, the 0.1 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂ displayed a much greater photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue (MB) and excellent antibacterial ability for *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) compared to undoped TiO₂. On the other hand, the high Cu doping levels had negative impacts on the surface charge of nanoparticles and charge transfer for OH• generation, resulting in decreasing MB degradation and *E. coli* photokilling for 1.0 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂.

Keywords: Cu-doped TiO₂; hydrothermal; nanoparticles; photocatalytic; photokilling

1. Introduction

Over the past several decades, the use of titanium dioxide (TiO₂, titania) has been increasing significantly due to its advantages and capabilities for various applications, including the antibacterial disinfection of surfaces, self-cleaning and self-sterilization, site remediation, domestic and industrial wastewater treatments [1–3]. In addition, TiO₂ is highly chemically-stable and human-friendly [4,5], therefore, it can also be used for biomaterials, biomedical devices and food applications [6,7]. It must be noted that TiO₂ nanoparticles are considered safe: they are used as food additives and for surface coating materials [8,9]. Their safety has been investigated using a model intestinal bacterial community, indicating that TiO₂ does not significantly alter the human gut microbiome [9]. Theoretically, TiO₂ has a band gap of 3.20 eV, which means the photocatalytic activity can be activated only under UV regions. Therefore, reducing the TiO₂ band gap is one of the main goals of researchers in the field, because it can enhance the photocatalytic activity under visible light regions.



Citation: Mingmongkol, Y.; Trinh, D.T.T.; Phuinthiang, P.; Channei, D.; Ratananikom, K.; Nakaruk, A.; Khanitchaidecha, W. Enhanced Photocatalytic and Photokilling Activities of Cu-Doped TiO₂ Nanoparticles. *Nanomaterials* **2022**, *12*, 1198. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/nano12071198

Academic Editors: John Vakros, Evroula Hapeshi, Catia Cannilla and Giuseppe Bonura

Received: 17 February 2022 Accepted: 1 April 2022 Published: 3 April 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Various narrow-band-gap materials such as cerium dioxide (CeO₂) and bismuth vanadate (BiVO₄) are active under visible light and exhibit promising photocatalytic activity in the degradation of organic compounds. The redox potential of Ce⁴⁺/Ce³⁺ makes it a particularly effective photocatalyst of CeO₂, yet the wide band gap range of 2.6 to 3.4 eV, depending on the preparation methods, limits the applications of CeO₂, though it can adsorb a larger fraction of the solar spectrum rather than TiO₂ [10]. Conversely, BiVO₄ has a relatively small band gap of ~2.4 eV and its high photocatalytic activity under visible light has attracted attention to its use as a photocatalyst. However, low photocatalytic activity of BiVO₄ has been observed due to its weak adsorption performance and poor migration of charged carriers [11].

There are several methods of reducing the band gap of TiO_2 , but one of the most well-known methods involves doping with transition metals. Many metals have been introduced for this purpose, such as Mn [12], Co [13], Zn [14] and Cu [15]. The latter has several significant advantages; for example, Cu can create multi-bands, act as inhibitor of grain growth and extend the electron-hole (e^-, h^+) pair recombination. In addition, an improvement in the visible light adsorption of TiO_2 was observed after doping with Cu, resulting in the further promotion of photocatalytic efficiency [16]. Leading research has further shown that Cu-doped TiO₂ provided superior antibacterial performance [17-24]. These studies proved that Cu-doped TiO_2 can be active under UV-A and visible light, and also that it can kill 100% of microbes. In addition, Cu has also been used in various forms of TiO_2 , for example, deposited on the top layer of TiO_2 thin film [25], nanocomposite forms of CuO-TiO₂ [26] and grafting Cu²⁺ in TiO₂ and WO₂ structures [27]. The well-known synthesis method of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles is the sol-gel technique; the nanoparticles are calcined at high temperatures ranging from 500 to 700 °C [16,28], and Cu precursors, such as copper chloride (CuCl₂) and copper sulphate (CuSO₄), are added to the titanium solution. The precipitation method has also been used to synthesise the Cu-doped nanocrystalline TiO₂; this method can be carried out using low-cost materials and easier manufacturing methods at industrial levels. Another attractive hydrothermal method, employed under self-produced pressures at low temperatures, can produce highly homogeneous nanoparticles with fewer contamination phases and less particle agglomeration [29,30]. Among the above-mentioned techniques, the hydrothermal method is very interesting due to its significant advantages: it makes it easy to obtain nanostructured morphology, a variation in the synthesis method can be implemented to enhance the properties of TiO_2 , and it is a feasible method for varying applications.

Furthermore, TiO_2 -based composites have been synthesised to improve material properties, photocatalytic activity and degradation of organic compounds. The graphene– TiO_2 composite can produce a large number of pores, which increases the active photocatalytic sites and provides space for adsorption [31]. This composite also showed efficient degradation of pollutants under both visible light and sunlight [31]. Another composite of Zeolite Socony Mobil-5 (ZSM-5) and TiO_2 displayed great surface area and mesopore volume. However, the synthesis method of the ZSM-5/ TiO_2 composite affected material properties and pollutant degradation [32].

Although the Cu-doped TiO₂ is well-recognized for antibacterial performance, the doping ratio of Cu/Ti is still doubtful at present. The doping percentage can be found over the range of 0.1 up to 10.0 wt.%. In this work, we have succeeded in discovering the best Cu doping weight percentage that could provide the best photokilling efficiency. In addition, a one-step process of a hydrothermal method has been demonstrated in the present work for synthesising various weight percentages of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. These nanoparticles were also characterised in physical and chemical properties, including the structure, topology and band gap energy. In addition, the improved photocatalytic and antibacterial abilities of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles were examined and discussed in comparison to undoped TiO₂.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1. Cu-Doped TiO₂ Nanoparticle Synthesis

Undoped and Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles were synthesised using hydrothermal methods. A 4 mL volume of titanium (IV) isopropoxide (TTIP: 97% reagent grade, Sigma-Aldrich) and 20 mL of ethanol (>99% reagent grade, Merck) were mixed, and then 4 mL of deionized (DI) water was slowly added to the solution. After stirring at 200 rpm for 1 h at room temperature (~25 °C), the solution was transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 180 °C for 12 h. Finally, the TiO₂ nanoparticles were washed with DI water and dried at 100 °C for 12 h. For Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles, various weight percentages of Cu, including 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 wt.% of the aqueous solution, were prepared by dissolving CuSO₄·5H₂O (>98% reagent grade, Merck) in 100 mL of DI water. The Cu solution was added to the titanium solution during the stirring. The mixed solution was transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, and the same procedure as for TiO₂ nanoparticle preparation was followed.

2.2. Characterisation of Nanoparticles

The structural properties and phase identification of nanoparticles were examined using X-ray diffraction (XRD: Bruker, D2 Phaser) under Cu K α radiation (λ = 0.154 nm) and 2 θ ranging from 20° to 80°. The topological properties of nanoparticles were investigated using a transmission electron microscope (TEM: JEOL, JEM-2100Plus; Japan) with an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. In addition, the specific surface area of nanoparticles (SSA) was obtained with the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method (BET: Micromeritics, TriStar-II-3020) using nitrogen adsorption-desorption analysis at 77 K. Furthermore, the optical band gap of nanoparticles was determined using diffuse reflection spectroscopy (DRS: Shimadzu, UV-360) with an integrating sphere attachment (ISR-3100, Shimadzu; Japan). The band gap energy was calculated using a Tauc plot from the DRS spectrum [33].

2.3. Photocatalysis and Photokilling Examinations

Photodegradation of methylene blue (MB) was used to evaluate the photocatalytic activity of undoped and Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. A 10^{-5} M MB concentration (~3.2 mg/L) was prepared in DI water. The undoped and Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles were dispersed in the MB solution at a 1 g/L concentration. Meanwhile, the MB removal efficiency using synthesised nanoparticles was compared to that of Degussa P25 (≥99.5% trace metals basis, Sigma-Aldrich), which is a well-known benchmark TiO₂ with high photocatalytic efficiency. The MB solutions with nanoparticles were kept in the dark for 30 min at room temperature, and afterwards, they were radiated with UV-A (365 nm, single wavelength) with magnetic stirring at 100 rpm. The remaining MB concentration was measured with UV-VIS spectroscopy (UV-6100, Mapada; China), and the MB removal efficiency was calculated.

Gram-negative bacteria, *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) TISTR117 (from Thailand Institute of Scientific and Technological Research), were used as indicator strains for a photokilling evaluation of nanoparticles. The indicator strain was grown in nutrient broth at 30 °C for 16–18 h, and afterwards, the bacteria culture was diluted in DI water to obtain the initial concentration of 10^7 CFU/mL. The 10 mL bacterial suspensions were individually treated with 0.025 g of undoped TiO₂ and 0.1 wt.%, 0.5 wt.% and 1.0 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂. It must be noted that the untreated sample (without nanoparticles) represented the control in this study. The treated samples and untreated sample were activated under 10 W of UV-A for 0, 30, 60, 120 and 180 min on the rotary platform. The layout and configuration of the experiment are presented in Figure 1. The visible cell growth was monitored by plating 100 µL of treated and untreated samples on the nutrient agar in chronological order and incubating them at 30 °C for 24 h. The number of visible counts (CFU/mL) against incubation time under UV-A radiation.



Figure 1. The layout of the photo-killing experimental setup.

3. Results and Discussions

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of undoped and Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. It can be seen that undoped TiO₂ consisted of two phases: anatase, as a major phase, and brookite, as a minor phase. On the other hand, Cu-doped nanoparticles contained only the sole phase of anatase. The data also indicate that as doping increased, the degree of crystallinity also increased; the reason is that Cu is known as a promoter in the grain growth structure, so it can help to promote the anatase structure [34]. The lattice parameter data and crystallite size were calculated using XRD data, and the results are presented in Table 1. The lattice parameter data suggest that the unit cell is slightly shirked with increasing Cu doping levels. This is due to the replacement of the Ti⁴⁺ with the Cu²⁺. Since the ionic radius of Ti is 0.61 Å and of Cu is 0.57 Å [35], the unit cell will be compressed. The crystallite size data were also similar to the data from the TEM image (7–10 nm). Therefore, these nanoparticles form a single grain particle.



Figure 2. XRD patterns of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles, Anatase: ICCD card no. 00-064-0863, Brookite: ICCD card no. 01-071-4943.

Parameters	Samples			
	Undoped TiO ₂	0.1 wt.% Cu-Doped	0.5 wt.% Cu-Doped	1.0 wt.% Cu-Doped
Phase	Anatase			
Lattice parameter (Å)	a = 3.786 c = 9.503	a = 3.787 c = 9.496	a = 3.787 c = 9.488	a = 3.788 c = 9.484
Crystallite Size (nm)	7.73	7.86	7.84	7.85
Particle size (nm)	~10			
Specific surface area (m ² /g)	181.34	180.20	182.32	180.00
Band gap (eV)	3.20	3.15	3.10	3.12
MB removal efficiency, after 60 min of irradiation time (%)	77.86%	100%	95.83%	92.17%

Table 1. Summary of analytical data.

TEM images of the nanoparticles are presented in Figure 3. The undoped TiO₂ nanoparticles had consistent particle sizes of 10 nm. Meanwhile, the Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles tended to show similar particle sizes to the undoped TiO₂ nanoparticles at 10 nm. In addition, the SSA data are given in Table 1. The data reveal that the SSA of all the samples was in the same range of 180–182 m²/g. Generally, the photocatalytic performance depends on three key parameters: the optical band gap, specific surface area and electron–hole recombination rate. Since the SSA of all the samples was similar, the photocatalytic performance would rely on the optical band gap and electron-hole recombination rate. Both parameters are discussed below.



Figure 3. TEM images of (**a**) undoped, (**b**) 0.1 wt.%, (**c**) 0.5 wt.% and (**d**) 1.0 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles.

According to the structure of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles, the substitution of Ti(IV) by Cu(II) was inconsistent due to the difference between ionic radii (Ti(IV) = 0.61 Å and Cu(II) = 0.57 Å) [35]. However, the smaller Cu(II) was simply replaced with the host of larger Ti(IV) in the Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles, causing the compression of the unit cell. To explain the charge balance of Cu-doped TiO₂ on the electronic structures, band gap and band edge positions; doping elements of Cu²⁺ into TiO₂ induces effective charges in solid state compounds. When the Cu²⁺ replaces Ti⁴⁺ in the TiO₂ lattice, the system must be compensated by either cation vacancies or free electrons, or changed of valence state of Ti⁴⁺ ions. It is important to understand how the additional charges can affect the system and the subsequent influence on the band gap transitions. In this case, the forms of the charge-balance structures of Cu-doped TiO₂ lead to the decreasing of the optical band gap, as showing Figure 4.



Figure 4. Reflection spectra (a) and Tauc plot (b) of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles.

According to the Tauc plot [33], the result revealed that the band gap was reduced by increasing Cu doping levels, as shown in Figure 4. This is because the Cu²⁺ ions generated sub-bands near conduction band, resulting in the band gap reduction of Cu-doped TiO₂. Concurrently, the sub-bands also increased the electron-hole recombination time. These two phenomena increased the performance of photocatalytic efficiency, as shown in the MB degradation test presented in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Photocatalytic activity of undoped and Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles for methylene blue (MB) degradation.

The photocatalytic performance of nanoparticles was evaluated by measuring the degradation of MB and the photokilling of *E. coli*. As shown in Figure 5, the results clearly indicate that Cu doping can increase the MB degradation of TiO2 nanoparticles. It is important to note that the undoped TiO₂ and benchmark TiO₂ (P25) achieved only $\sim 20\%$ of MB removal in the dark adsorption, whereas the Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles achieved ~40 of MB removal. Due to the similar SSA and particle sizes of undoped and Cu-doped TiO_2 , the surface charge of the Cu-doped TiO_2 possibly caused the improvement in the MB adsorption ability. As reported in the literature [$_{36}$], the pH of TiO₂, of which the zeta potential shifted to zero (point of zero charge, P_{ZC}), was approximately 6.5. The P_{ZC} tended to move towards the lower pH when the Cu doping was increased: it was approximately 6.0 for 0.15 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂. The pH value of the initial MB solution was measured to be 6.2, which was above the P_{ZC} of Cu-doped TiO₂. Therefore, the surface charge of nanoparticles was negative. The cationic MB dye with a positive charge favoured the electrostatic interaction with the negatively charge surface of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. This phenomenon leaded to the increase in adsorption ability in the dark as well as the MB degradation rate under UV-A irradiation.

Under UV-A irradiation, the 0.1 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles demonstrated very high performance, similar to that of P25, in terms of MB degradation. Since the Cu atoms generated sub-bands in the Cu-doped TiO₂ structure, this may have caused the decrease in the optical band gap [20] in this work (as shown in Table 1 and Figure 4). The sub-bands can trap the exited electron from the exited state, resulting in the slow electron-hole recombination [18] and increasing the chances of hydroxyl radical (HO•) generation [37]. Furthermore, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) is the intermediate pathway of the photocatalytic process and can react with Cu²⁺ ions and generate a Fenton-like reaction to degrade MB. The hybrid process of the Fenton-like reaction and photocatalysis of using 0.1 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles significantly improves the MB degradation.

On the other hand, the ion doping also acts as an impurity that creates structural defects, which negatively affects the photocatalytic performance [21]. Since the defects can trap and/or quench the excited electron and hole, the high Cu content of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles makes it the recombination centre for photogenerated electron-hole pairs [38,39]. It is also interesting to consider that at the high dopant concentration, the charge trapping is high and the charge carrier pairs may recombine though quantum

tunnelling [40]. In this work, the MB removal efficiency decreased to 95.83% and 92.17% for 0.5 wt.% and 1.0 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂, respectively.

The photocatalytic performance of nanoparticles was clarified by the photokilling activity of undoped and Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles against *E. coli*. Figures 6 and 7 clearly present that in the control sample (the bacterial culture without nanoparticles), the UV-A irradiation did not cause any bacteria death, as shown by the steady growth curve over the incubation time. A decreasing curve of the visible bacteria count was observed in the bacterial culture with either undoped or Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles, meaning that both undoped and Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles showed antibacterial and bactericidal activities. The indicator strain was completely killed in 180 min for the 0.1 wt.% Cu-doped TiO_2 nanoparticles, whereas the undoped TiO_2 showed a slight inhibition of bacterial growth. In addition, the higher Cu doping of 0.5 wt.% and 1.0 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles achieved lower *E. coli* photokilling than the 0.1 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. Furthermore, some visible bacteria growth was observed after 180 min of UV-A irradiation. It can therefore be concluded that the photokilling activity of TiO₂ nanoparticles can be enhanced by doping Cu into TiO_2 nanoparticles; however, its photokilling activity did not follow in a dose-dependent manner. The high Cu doping levels had negative impacts on photocatalytic performance, including MB degradation and photokilling activity, as explained above. It has to be noted that Cu-doped TiO_2 can perform the photokilling activity under dark conditions with less efficiency compared to under UV irradiation [41-43].



Figure 6. Antibacterial activity of undoped and Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles.

Cu-doped TiO₂ can perform some photokilling in dark conditions. The antibacterial property of CuO, Cu and Cu-doped TiO₂ demonstrates the high performance of killing bacteria without any radiation. The UV radiation enhanced the electron transfer and the ROS generation against bacteria cells, resulting in inactivation of bacteria cells. However, it needs to be noted that the antibacterial activity of CuO-doped TiO₂ nanomaterial was not investigated in our study. The cooperative effect of CuO and TiOs in CuO-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles was our main interest.

According to this work, the 0.1 wt.%. Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles showed the best antibacterial activity. The antibacterial mechanism of TiO₂ has been explained in previous studies [25,44–46]. This was mainly in relation to the generation of strong reactive oxygen species (ROS) (i.e., HO•, O_2^-). These oxygen species attached to the cell membrane and activated the peroxidation of the polyunsaturated phospholipid component of the cell membrane. The change in cell integrity lead to the leakage of cell components and eventual cell death. In addition, Cu doping into TiO₂ can enhance antibacterial activity because Cu itself is antibacterial due to the Fenton-like reaction. Furthermore, the combination of Cu and TiO_2 reduced the charge carrier recombination, resulting in an increased chance of reaction with water and oxygen to generate ROS, which were responsible for destroying the bacterial cells. Furthermore, Ansari et al. discovered that the TiO_2 nanofibres were more active against Gram-negative cells than Gram-positive cells. Since *E. coli* is a Gram-negative bacteriau, other Gram-positive bacteria (e.g., *S. aureus*) should be further tested to demonstrate the extent of the photokilling performance of 0.1 %wt. Cu-doped TiO₂ [47].



Figure 7. Photo of visible E. coli colony after UV-A radiation.

4. Conclusions

This work illustrated an approach to improve the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ by doping with Cu²⁺ ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 wt%. The coupling of nanoparticles between TiO₂ and Cu led to a decrease in the band gap from 3.20 eV to 3.12 eV; however, the particle sizes and specific surface areas of all the Cu-doped TiO₂ samples were similar to the undoped TiO₂ at approximately 10 nm and 180–182 m²/g, respectively. The enhanced photocatalytic activity of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles was verified by MB degradation and *E. coli* photokilling. The greatest photocatalytic activity was observed in the 0.1 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles, which showed approximately 100% MB degradation and *E. coli* photokilling. However, the fraction of Cu doping significantly impacted the surface charge together with charge transfer for HO• generation, resulting in a decrease in the photocatalytic activity of 1.0 wt.% Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. Therefore, the optimal fraction of metal doping was an important factor for enhancing the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, W.K.; methodology, K.R., A.N. and W.K.; validation, D.C., K.R., A.N. and W.K.; formal analysis, Y.M., D.T.T.T., P.P. and, K.R; investigation, Y.M., P.P. and K.R.; resources, K.R., A.N. and W.K.; data curation, Y.M. and P.P.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.M. and W.K.; supervision, A.N. and W.K.; project administration; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Thailand Science Research and Innovation (TSRI) through project R2565B038. The APC was partially supported by Naresuan University and TSRI (Project No. R2565B038).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by Thailand Science Research and Innovation (TSRI) though project R2565B038.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Kumaravel, V.; Nair, K.M.; Mathew, S.; Bartlett, J.; Kennedy, J.E.; Manning, H.G.; Whelan, B.J.; Leyland, N.S.; Pillai, S.C. Antimicrobial TiO₂ nanocomposite coatings for surfaces, dental and orthopaedic implants. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, 416, 129071. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, H.; Feng, Y.; Shao, J.; Chen, Y.; Wang, Z.L.; Li, H.; Chen, X.; Bian, Z. Self-cleaning triboelectric nanogenerator based on TiO₂ photocatalysis. *Nano Energy* 2020, 70, 104499. [CrossRef]
- 3. Threrujirapapong, T.; Khanitchaidecha, W.; Nakaruk, A. Treatment of high organic carbon industrial wastewater using photocatalysis process. *Environ. Nanotechnol. Monit. Manag.* **2017**, *8*, 163–168. [CrossRef]
- Kaur, N.; Mahajan, A.; Bhullar, V.; Singh, D.P.; Saxena, V.; Debnath, A.K.; Aswal, D.K.; Devi, D.; Singh, F.; Chopra, S. Ag ion implanted TiO₂ photoanodes for fabrication of highly efficient and economical plasmonic dye sensitized solar cells. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2020, 740, 137070. [CrossRef]
- Geppert, M.; Schwarz, A.; Stangassinger, L.M.; Wenger, S.; Wienerroither, L.M.; Ess, S.; Duschl, A.; Himly, M. Interactions of TiO₂ Nanoparticles with Ingredients from Modern Lifestyle Products and Their Effects on Human Skin Cells. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 2020, 33, 1215–1225. [CrossRef]
- Cheung, K.H.; Pabbruwe, M.B.; Chen, W.-F.; Koshy, P.; Sorrell, C.C. Thermodynamic and microstructural analyses of photocatalytic TiO₂ from the anodization of biomedical-grade Ti6Al4V in phosphoric acid or sulfuric acid. *Ceram. Int.* 2021, 47, 1609–1624. [CrossRef]
- Kordjazi, Z.; Ajji, A. Development of TiO₂ catalyzed HTPB based oxygen scavenging films for food packaging applications. *Food Control* 2021, 121, 107639. [CrossRef]
- 8. Yemmireddy, V.K.; Hung, Y.-C. Using Photocatalyst Metal Oxides as Antimicrobial Surface Coatings to Ensure Food Safety— Opportunities and Challenges. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 2017, *16*, 617–631. [CrossRef]
- Dudefoi, W.; Moniz, K.; Allen-Vercoe, E.; Ropers, M.-H.; Walker, V.K. Impact of food grade and nano-TiO₂ particles on a human intestinal community. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2017, 106, 242–249. [CrossRef]
- Kusmierek, E. A CeO₂ Semiconductor as a Photocatalytic and Photoelectrocatalytic Material for the Remediation of Pollutants in Industrial Wastewater: A Review. *Catalysts* 2020, 10, 1435. [CrossRef]
- 11. Trinh, D.T.T.; Channei, D.; Nakaruk, A.; Khanitchaidecha, W. New insight into the photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutant over BiVO₄/SiO₂/GO nanocomposite. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 4620. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Prashad Ojha, D.; Babu Poudel, M.; Joo Kim, H. Investigation of electrochemical performance of a high surface area mesoporous Mn doped TiO₂ nanoparticle for a supercapacitor. *Mater. Lett.* **2020**, *264*, 127363. [CrossRef]
- 13. Lim, J.; Yang, Y.; Hoffmann, M.R. Activation of Peroxymonosulfate by Oxygen Vacancies-Enriched Cobalt-Doped Black TiO₂ Nanotubes for the Removal of Organic Pollutants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53*, 6972–6980. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Alotaibi, A.M.; Promdet, P.; Hwang, G.B.; Li, J.; Nair, S.P.; Sathasivam, S.; Kafizas, A.; Carmalt, C.J.; Parkin, I.P. Zn and N Codoped TiO₂ Thin Films: Photocatalytic and Bactericidal Activity. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *13*, 10480–10489. [CrossRef]
- 15. Moon, E.W.; Lee, H.-W.; Rok, J.H.; Ha, J.-H. Photocatalytic inactivation of viral particles of human norovirus by Cu-doped TiO₂ non-woven fabric under UVA-LED wavelengths. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *749*, 141574. [CrossRef]
- 16. Mathew, S.; Ganguly, P.; Rhatigan, S.; Kumaravel, V.; Byrne, C.; Hinder, S.J.; Bartlett, J.; Nolan, M.; Pillai, S.C. Cu-Doped TiO₂: Visible Light Assisted Photocatalytic Antimicrobial Activity. *Appl. Sci.* **2018**, *8*, 2067. [CrossRef]
- 17. Karunakaran, C.; Abiramasundari, G.; Gomathisankar, P.; Manikandan, G.; Anandi, V. Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles for photocatalytic disinfection of bacteria under visible light. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2010**, *352*, 68–74. [CrossRef]
- 18. Yang, X.-j.; Wang, S.; Sun, H.-m.; Wang, X.-b.; Lian, J.-S. Preparation and photocatalytic performance of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* **2015**, *25*, 504–509. [CrossRef]
- Wu, B.; Huang, R.; Sahu, M.; Feng, X.; Biswas, P.; Tang, Y.J. Bacterial responses to Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2010, 408, 1755–1758. [CrossRef]
- Aguilar, T.; Navas, J.; Alcántara, R.; Fernández-Lorenzo, C.; Gallardo, J.J.; Blanco, G.; Martín-Calleja, J. A route for the synthesis of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles with a very low band gap. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2013, 571, 49–53. [CrossRef]
- Choudhury, B.; Dey, M.; Choudhury, A. Defect generation, d-d transition, and band gap reduction in Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Int. Nano Lett.* 2013, *3*, 25. [CrossRef]
- 22. Pongwan, P.; Wetchakun, K.; Phanichphant, S.; Wetchakun, N. Enhancement of visible-light photocatalytic activity of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Res. Chem. Intermed.* **2016**, *42*, 2815–2830. [CrossRef]
- Geesi, M.H.; Ouerghi, O.; Elsanousi, A.; Kaiba, A.; Riadi, Y. Ultrasound-Assisted Preparation of Cu-Doped TiO₂ Nanoparticles as a Nanocatalyst for Sonochemical Synthesis of Pyridopyrimidines. *Polycycl. Aromat. Compd.* 2022, 42, 80–90. [CrossRef]

- Zhu, X.; Wen, G.; Liu, H.; Han, S.; Chen, S.; Kong, Q.; Feng, W. One-step hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of Cu-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles/nanobucks/nanorods with enhanced photocatalytic performance under simulated solar light. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* 2019, 30, 13826–13834. [CrossRef]
- Sunada, K.; Watanabe, T.; Hashimoto, K. Studies on photokilling of bacteria on TiO₂ thin film. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 2003, 156, 227–233. [CrossRef]
- Li, G.; Dimitrijevic, N.M.; Chen, L.; Rajh, T.; Gray, K.A. Role of Surface/Interfacial Cu2+ Sites in the Photocatalytic Activity of Coupled CuO-TiO₂ Nanocomposites. J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 19040–19044. [CrossRef]
- 27. Irie, H.; Miura, S.; Kamiya, K.; Hashimoto, K. Efficient visible light-sensitive photocatalysts: Grafting Cu(II) ions onto TiO₂ and WO₃ photocatalysts. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, 457, 202–205. [CrossRef]
- Tamarani, A.; Zainul, R.; Dewata, I. Preparation and characterization of XRD nano Cu-TiO₂ using sol-gel method. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 2019, 1185, 012020. [CrossRef]
- Souvereyns, B.; Elen, K.; De Dobbelaere, C.; Kelchtermans, A.; Peys, N.; D'Haen, J.; Mertens, M.; Mullens, S.; Van den Rul, H.; Meynen, V.; et al. Hydrothermal synthesis of a concentrated and stable dispersion of TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013, 223, 135–144. [CrossRef]
- Sirichokthanasarp, J.; Trinh, D.T.T.; Channei, D.A.D.; Chansaenpak, K.; Khanitchaidecha, W.; Nakaruk, A. Influence of Preparation Methods of TiO₂ Nano-Particle on Photodegradation of Methylene Blue. *Mater. Sci. Forum* 2020, 998, 84–89. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, X.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; Wu, Z. 2D Graphene-TiO₂ Composite and Its Photocatalytic Application in Water Pollutants. *Front. Energy Res.* 2021, *8*, 6122512. [CrossRef]
- Fernández-Catalá, J.; Sánchez-Rubio, M.; Navlani-García, M.; Berenguer-Murcia, Á.; Cazorla-Amorós, D. Synthesis of TiO₂/Nanozeolite Composites for Highly Efficient Photocatalytic Oxidation of Propene in the Gas Phase. ACS Omega 2020, 5, 31323–31331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tauc, J.; Grigorovici, R.; Vancu, A. Optical Properties and Electronic Structure of Amorphous Germanium. *Phys. Status Solidi B* 1966, 15, 627–637. [CrossRef]
- 34. Hanaor, D.A.H.; Sorrell, C.C. Review of the anatase to rutile phase transformation. J. Mater. Sci. 2011, 46, 855–874. [CrossRef]
- 35. Shannon, R.D. Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallogr. A Found. Adv.* **1976**, 32, 751–767. [CrossRef]
- 36. Nguyen Thi Thu, T.; Nguyen Thi, N.; Tran Quang, V.; Nguyen Hong, K.; Nguyen Minh, T.; Le Thi Hoai, N. Synthesis, characterisation, and effect of pH on degradation of dyes of copper-doped TiO₂. *J. Exp. Nanosci.* **2016**, *11*, 226–238. [CrossRef]
- 37. Krishnakumar, V.; Boobas, S.; Jayaprakash, J.; Rajaboopathi, M.; Han, B.; Louhi-Kultanen, M. Effect of Cu doping on TiO₂ nanoparticles and its photocatalytic activity under visible light. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2016**, *27*, 7438–7447. [CrossRef]
- Wen, L.; Liu, B.; Zhao, X.; Nakata, K.; Murakami, T.; Fujishima, A. Synthesis, Characterization, and Photocatalysis of Fe-Doped TiO₂: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2012, 2012, 368750. [CrossRef]
- Moradi, H.; Eshaghi, A.; Hosseini, S.R.; Ghani, K. Fabrication of Fe-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles and investigation of photocatalytic decolorization of reactive red 198 under visible light irradiation. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 2016, *32*, 314–319. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Z.; Wang, C.-C.; Zakaria, R.; Ying, J.Y. Role of Particle Size in Nanocrystalline TiO₂-Based Photocatalysts. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1998, 102, 10871–10878. [CrossRef]
- Qiu, X.; Miyauchi, M.; Sunada, K.; Minoshima, M.; Liu, M.; Lu, Y.; Li, D.; Shimodaira, Y.; Hosogi, Y.; Kuroda, Y.; et al. Hybrid Cu_xO/TiO₂ Nanocomposites as Risk-Reduction Materials in Indoor Environments. ACS Nano 2012, 6, 1609–1618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Gamage McEvoy, J.; Zhang, Z. Antimicrobial and photocatalytic disinfection mechanisms in silver-modified photocatalysts under dark and light conditions. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. C Photochem. Rev.* **2014**, *19*, 62–75. [CrossRef]
- 43. Janczarek, M.; Endo, M.; Zhang, D.; Wang, K.; Kowalska, E. Enhanced Photocatalytic and Antimicrobial Performance of Cuprous Oxide/Titania: The Effect of Titania Matrix. *Materials* **2018**, *11*, 2069. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Applerot, G.; Lellouche, J.; Lipovsky, A.; Nitzan, Y.; Lubart, R.; Gedanken, A.; Banin, E. Understanding the Antibacterial Mechanism of CuO Nanoparticles: Revealing the Route of Induced Oxidative Stress. *Small* 2012, *8*, 3326–3337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Othman, S.H.; Abd Salam, N.R.; Zainal, N.; Kadir Basha, R.; Talib, R.A. Antimicrobial Activity of TiO₂ Nanoparticle-Coated Film for Potential Food Packaging Applications. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2014, 2014, 945930. [CrossRef]
- 46. Meghana, S.; Kabra, P.; Chakraborty, S.; Padmavathy, N. Understanding the pathway of antibacterial activity of copper oxide nanoparticles. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 12293–12299. [CrossRef]
- Ansari, M.A.; Albetran, H.M.; Alheshibri, M.H.; Timoumi, A.; Algarou, N.A.; Akhtar, S.; Slimani, Y.; Almessiere, M.A.; Alahmari, F.S.; Baykal, A.; et al. Synthesis of Electrospun TiO₂ Nanofibers and Characterization of Their Antibacterial and Antibiofilm Potential against Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Antibiotics* 2020, *9*, 572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]